UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/544,084	07/28/2005	Hiromoto II	KON-2020	9413
20311 LUCAS & MEI	7590 01/12/201 RCANTI. LLP	EXAMINER		
475 PARK AVI 15TH FLOOR		TUROCY, DAVID P		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10016		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/12/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

info@lmiplaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/544,084	II ET AL.	
Faransina a	A (1 1 ! 4	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DAVID TUROCY	1792	

	DAVID TUROCY	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 December 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the p	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS		20 () ()	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation (Control of Control of Con		cted claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **		DTOL OOA)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		npliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/David Turocy/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1792	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim amendments include new limitations that were combined into the independent claims that were not previously considered together. Additionally, the claim amendment to the independent claim to include subject matter of one dependent claim results in changing the scope of all the other dependent claims and therefore this also requires further consideration on the record..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are directed at newly amended claims that were not considered for the reasons set forth above. With regards to the 136 reference, the examiner notes that the translation of the FRPR fails to include support for the subject matter that US 136 is used to support. Therefore US 136 may be a 102(e) reference for certain teachings, but since the FRPR reference does not teach the subject matter relied upon, the reference remains a 102(a) reference for those teachings. In other words US 136 was published prior to the US effective filing date of the present US application for the teachings of claims 6-11, 13, and 17-26 are rejected in the prior office action. The examiner notes the showing of the Tables, however, while the FRPR perfects the US filing date of certain inventive teachings, the FRPR is completely silent to atleast the limitations that the examiner relied on US 136 to teach. For example, the effective US filing date for the subject matter of claim 6 is the filing date of the PCT application (7/8/2004) because the FRPR translation is completely silent to this subject matter. Therefore for these limitations, the US 136 reference is a 102(a) reference and can not be overcome with a statement under 103(c).